

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,677	07/05/2006	Masahiko Amari	034206.002	8340	
441 7590 (94/08/2019) SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL 1130 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 1130 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			HWU, DAVIS D		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/08/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/550,677 AMARI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Davis Hwu 3752 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 March 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 3-5.7.9.10 and 12-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 and 7 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3,4,9,10 and 12-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/550,677 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment and arguments of March 4, 2009 have been entered.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 Claims 3, 4, 9, 10, and 12-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sickles in view of Binoche.

Sickles discloses an electrostatic coating spray gun as recited comprising: a barrel 14; an air cap 70 mounted on a front of the barrel; a coating material delivery port 84 as recited; a centralized electrode 150 protruding forward through the port 84, wherein the centralized electrode is grounded; a pair of projections 114 and 116 formed at respective radial opposing positions of the air cap while sandwiching the central electrode therebetween, the projections protruding farther forward than the delivery port 84 (see Figure 3); a pattern air flow channel formed in the projections; and a pair of electrodes 110 and 112 in the interiors of the respective projections; and the electrodes protrude forward (see Figure 3); and the electrodes have ends covered by the projections located in front of the electrodes. Binoche teaches an electrostatic coating spray gun comprising a barrel and an air cap having a pair of projections and a pair of insulatively shielded electrodes E1 and E2 in the interiors of the projections and having respective surfaces covered with electrically insulating material. It would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/550,677

Art Unit: 3752

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Sickles by replacing the electrodes 110 and 112 with insulatively shielded electrodes as taught by Binoche. Regarding claim 4, having additional projections and insulated electrodes within the projections would have involved a mere duplication of the critical working parts of a device. Regarding claim 12, the angular orientations of the air flow channels would have been a matter of design choice since shaping air will still be formed with such arrangements. Regarding claim 9, providing the retaining nut 48 with a shaping air port would also have been a matter of design choice since the shaping air will still be provided with or without this modification.

## Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,677 Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Davis Hwu whose telephone number is (571)272-4904.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday 8:00-4:30. If attempts to reach

the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can

be reached on (571)272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status

of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval

(PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

/Davis Hwu/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752